



INTERNATIONAL
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
COUNCIL

The Power of Knowledge and Leadership



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
RESEARCH PARTNERS

*International Economic
Development Council*



Seeding Growth: Maximizing the Return on Incentives

Executive Summary

International Economic Development Council

IEDC is the world's largest membership organization serving the economic development profession, with over 4,500 members and a network of over 25,000 economic development professionals and allies. From public to private, rural to urban, and local to international, our members represent the entire range of economic development experience. Through a range of services including conferences, training courses, webinars, publications, research and technical assistance efforts, we strive to provide cutting-edge knowledge to the economic development community and its stakeholders. For more information about IEDC, visit www.iedconline.org.

JoAnn Crary, CEcD

President
Saginaw Future Inc.
Chair of the Board

William Sproull, FM

President and CEO
Richardson Economic Development Partnership
Immediate Past Chairman of the Board

Jeffrey A. Finkle, CEcD

President and CEO
International Economic Development Council

Economic Development Research Partners (EDRP)

The EDRP Program is the “think tank” component of IEDC, designed to help economic development professionals weather the challenges and grab opportunities from economic changes affecting our communities. EDRP members are leaders in the field of economic development, working through this program to improve the knowledge and practice of the profession.

IEDC would like to thank the Economic Development Research Partners program for providing the impetus and resources for this project.

Bill Allen

President and CEO
Los Angeles County
Economic Development
Corporation

Darrell Auterson, CEcD, EDFP

President and CEO
York County Economic
Alliance

Dee Baird, PhD

President and CEO
Cedar Rapids Metro
Economic Alliance

Dyan Brasington, CEcD, FM, HLM

Vice President, Innovation
and Applied Research
Towson University

Cliff Brumfield

Executive Director
Lincoln Economic
Development Association

Ronnie Bryant, CEcD, FM

President and CEO
Charlotte Regional
Partnership

Tim Chase, CEcD, FM

President and CEO
Wichita Falls Chamber of
Commerce and Industry

Kurt Chilcott, CEcD, FM, HLM

President and CEO
CDC Small Business Finance
Corporation

Amy Clickner, CEcD

CEO
Lake Superior Community
Partnership

Christina Clouse

Director of Project
Management
JobsOhio

Denny Coleman, CEcD, FM

President and CEO
St. Louis County Economic
Council

JoAnn Crary, CEcD

President
Saginaw Future, Inc.

J. Vann Cunningham

Assistant Vice President,
Economic Development
BNSF Railway Company

Jim Damicis

Senior Vice President
Camoin & Associates, Inc.

Bryan Daniels, CEcD

President and CEO
Blount Partnerships

Richard David, CEcD

President and CEO
Amarillo Economic
Development Corporation

Patrick Drinan, CEcD

Regional Account Manager
Wisconsin Economic
Development Corporation

Melissa Ehlinger

Vice President, Strategy,
Industry and Research
New Orleans Business
Alliance

Julie Engel, CEcD

President and CEO
Greater Yuma Economic
Development Corporation

Michael Finney

President and CEO
Michigan Economic
Development Corporation

William Kurt Foreman

Executive Vice President
Greater Oklahoma City
Chamber

Jim Fram, CEcD, FM

Senior Vice President,
Economic Development
Tulsa Metro Chamber

Jim Gandy, CEcD, CCIM

President
Frisco Economic
Development Corporation

Mark James, CEcD

Vice President, Economic and
Business Development
American Electric Power

Kevin Johns, AICP

Director
City of Austin, Economic
Growth and Redevelopment
Services Office

Steve Johnson, CEcD

Executive Vice President
St. Louis Regional Chamber &
Growth Association

Ronald Kitchens

President & CEO
Southwest Michigan First

Eloisa Klementich, CEcD

Director, Business
Development
Invest Atlanta

Birgit Klohs

President and CEO
The Right Place, Inc.

Paul Krutko, FM

President and CEO
Ann Arbor SPARK

Tom Kucharski, CEcD

President and CEO
Buffalo Niagara Enterprise

Michael Langley

CEO
Minneapolis Saint Paul
Regional Economic
Development Partnership

David Maahs

Executive Vice President
Greater Des Moines
Partnership

**Susan Mazarakes-Gill,
CEcD**

Executive Director
Longview Economic
Development Corporation

Tracye McDaniel

President & CEO
Choose New Jersey

Kenny McDonald, CEcD

Chief Economic Officer
Columbus 2020!

Brian McGowan

Executive Vice President and
COO
Metro Atlanta Chamber

Kevin McKinnon

Executive Director
Minnesota Department of
Employment and Economic
Development

Alphie Meek, PhD

Director, Community
Innovation Services
Georgia Tech Enterprise
Innovation Institute

Michael Meek, CEcD

President
Greater New Braunfels
Chamber of Commerce

Jay Moon, CEcD, FM

President and CEO
Mississippi Manufacturers
Association

Stephen Moret

Secretary
Louisiana Department of
Economic Development

Mike S. Neal, CCE CCD

President & CEO
Tulsa Regional Chamber

Christine Nelson

Vice President, Regional
Business Development
Team NEO

John Osborne

President and CEO
Lubbock Economic
Development Alliance

Bob Pertierra

Senior Vice President and
Chief Economic Development
Officer
Greater Houston Partnership

Lynier Richardson

Courtney Ross

Chief Economic Development
Officer
Nashville Area Chamber of
Commerce

John Shemo

Vice President and Director of
Economic Development
MetroHartford Alliance

Scott Smathers, CEcD

Vice President, Economic
Development
GO Topeka Economic
Partnership

William Sproull, FM

President and CEO
Richardson Economic
Development Partnership

Bob Swindell

President and CEO
Greater Fort Lauderdale
Alliance

**Allison Thompson, CEcD,
EDFP**

Executive Director
Cedar Hill Economic
Development Corporation

Scott Thompson

Senior Partner, Partnership
Development and Marketing
JumpStart Inc.

Scott Walker, CEcD

CEO
Midland Tomorrow

Rick Weddle, FM, HLM

President and CEO
Metro Orlando Economic
Development Commission

Greg Wingfield

President and CEO
Greater Richmond
Partnership, Inc.

Primary Author

Joshua Morris Hurwitz

Contributors

Emily J. Brown

Lynn A. Knight, CEcD

Kyle O'Malley

Mishka Parkins

International Economic Development Council

734 15th Street NW, Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005

202.223.7800

www.iedonline.org



INTERNATIONAL
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
COUNCIL

The Power of Knowledge and Leadership

Acknowledgements

IEDC would like to thank the Economic Development Research Partners (EDRP) program for providing the impetus and resources for this research.

In particular, we would like to acknowledge the Incentives ROI Task Force for their guidance in the paper's development: Michael Meek, chair, and members Jim Damicis, Birgit Klohs and Mark James. This paper would not be possible without their contributions and expertise.

Finally, we would like to thank Jeffrey A. Finkle, President and CEO of IEDC, for his oversight of this project.

Executive Summary

Given the prevalence of incentives, economic developers should ensure that they are using these tools as effectively as possible. At present, many jurisdictions do not track the amount of incentives they commit, nor do they calculate the economic benefits of the incentives they have actually awarded. Better management techniques would maximize the value of incentives and provide the data necessary to demonstrate the effectiveness of this economic development tool.

Incentives are *investments* made on behalf of *stakeholders*-- the citizens of the locality or state that the EDO represents-- with the objective of generating a *return on incentives (ROI)*, a term that borrows from the private sector concept of return on investment, also commonly abbreviated as ROI. Like private investors, economic development organizations (EDOs) should manage their incentives with the goals of *maximizing ROI* and *minimizing risks*.

In a recent survey, 83 percent of IEDC members reported that it would be helpful to have guidelines for awarding incentives.¹ The following guidelines are not intended as a “set” that must be adopted or rejected as a whole. Rather, it is recommended that EDOs, as they design and administer incentive programs, should select tools from this list that are best-suited to their intended objectives.

This report offers models that EDOs can use to calculate ROI. By rigorously evaluating the efficacy of their incentives, EDOs can reduce their costs, minimize risks, increase regulatory compliance, and spur innovation. Organizations that regularly perform evaluations of their investments and actively manage their portfolios are significantly more likely to achieve their projected returns.²

The Government Finance Officers Association writes,

“Providing a thorough and rigorous analysis of each project is critical... Responsible use of public funding requires that projects funded provide a suitable return for the jurisdiction, are consistent with overall community goals and priorities, and require that investments are made in a transparent manner with full understanding of all short- and long-term costs and benefits.”³

This report also offers model standards of practice that can be adapted by EDOs to design and administer high-performing incentives programs, including:

- Methods to lay the groundwork for high returns,

¹ International Economic Development Council members' survey, 2013.

² Project Management Institute, “[Portfolio Management](#).” Retrieved December 11, 2014.

³ Government Finance Officers Association, “[Evaluating and Managing Economic Development Incentives](#),” 2014.

- Best practices in designing incentives programs and agreements, and
- Mechanisms to collect the information required to project ROI.

Set the Context

To build a framework for effective incentive programs, economic developers should identify long-term community economic development objectives, create strong cooperative regional partnerships, deploy non-financial incentives wherever possible, and acquire the equipment and human resources needed to manage incentives programs effectively.

Design Effective Programs

EDOs can create high-performing incentives through good program design. Designing an effective incentive program begins with articulating a statement of the incentive's purpose; identifying target industrial sectors, locations, and project types whose attraction will help meet strategic economic development objectives; and explicitly stating clear criteria for projects that will qualify for financial incentives. Economic developers should employ financial structures that provide a high degree of effectiveness for cost and create mechanisms that reward companies for achieving public objectives.

Draft Incentive Agreements

An incentive agreement is a legal document that specifies the characteristics of individual incentives investments, including details of the financing to be offered, the conditions upon which it will be offered, and whether financing will be available based on projections or performance. Incentives agreements contain standard clauses for all incentive recipients, such as the financial structure of the incentive, the means in which the incentive assists in accomplishing strategic goals, and the ways that data will be collected. Most critically, the agreement includes legally binding criteria and metrics that must be met for incentive funds to be disbursed, such as the minimum capital investment and minimum number of jobs to be created.

Design an ROI Calculation

Monitoring portfolio performance involves collecting the information that agreements require recipients to disclose; collecting data about jobs growth, and local budgets; and evaluating the Return on Incentives (ROI).

ROI demonstrates the effectiveness of an incentive by comparing its costs and benefits. Considerations in the ROI calculation include estimating the percent of investment attributable to incentives, accounting for risks, accounting for the timing of expenses and benefits, controlling for the location of benefits and costs, and considering indirect and induced effects. EDOs must understand how these considerations affect the choices and assumptions they make in constructing ROI models and then communicate and explain those choices effectively to stakeholders, always maintaining high levels of professional integrity. Undertaking ROI calculation may require specialized staff training, cooperation

among multiple government departments or agencies, and the use of independent reviewers. ROI can be used at both the individual recipient level, to assess incentive applicants and to review the effectiveness of existing incentives agreements, as well as to comprehensively review an incentives program to demonstrate its effectiveness to stakeholders. Over time, comprehensive evaluations help economic developers to sharpen investment criteria and mitigate emergent risks.

Evaluate Incentive Performance by Calculating ROI

Economic developers have four models available to them for calculating ROI, which vary in their complexity, comprehensiveness, and accuracy:

- Economic Impact Analysis (EIA),
- Fiscal Impact Analysis (FIA),
- Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA), and
- Social Return on Incentives (SROI).

Economic Impact Analysis models new spending that results from an incentive being awarded. Though it is the most commonly used and relatively easy to perform, EIA considers only spending benefits, not costs. Thus, in order to be used as a demonstration of incentive effectiveness, EIAs must be compared with those of alternatives, including other incentive awards. Fiscal Impact Analysis provides a rigorous accounting of both costs and benefits of incentives, and most closely resembles private-sector ROI. However, it usually does not take into account important private benefits and costs, such as the effect of wages paid to workers. Cost-benefit models take into account private economic costs and benefits, but it can be difficult to effectively delimit a scope for these calculations, as well as to avoid double-counting- an accounting mistake where an item appears more than once. Social Return on Incentives is a method that allows for intangible the costs and benefits of incentives-driven projects, such as civic pride and congestion, to be considered, but it can be difficult to decide which intangibles to include and how to value them. Economic developers should understand these varied calculations and select the methods that are contextually appropriate, communicative, and accountable.

Actively Manage the Portfolio

After measuring ROI, the next step is to decide whether incentives investments should be retained, expanded, or terminated. Incentive payments can be scaled in proportion to performance. For incentive recipients that fail to meet the performance and disclosure clauses in the incentive agreement, EDOs should recommend that non-compliant incentives investments be terminated, or that payments made to companies that have failed to achieve investment criteria be recovered.

Communicate with Stakeholders

Economic developers should communicate the success of their incentive investments to stakeholders through regular reports on program design and effectiveness of the EDO's portfolio, regular comparison

with other economic development programs, and periodic comprehensive review of all incentives programs.

Conclusion

Economic developers can use the suite of tools contained in this paper to improve the design, administration, monitoring, evaluation, and communication of their incentives. Adopting best incentive practices can lead to more efficient spending and sustained economic growth.